



INDIANA  
DEPARTMENT OF  
CHILD  
SERVICES

*Protecting our children,  
families and future*

# Department of Child Services Practice Indicator Reports For June 2008

## Region 15 June 2008



Published  
July 2008



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For June 2007 to June 2008


### For June 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	7,953	6,987	87.85%
Region 15	303	259	85.48%
Dearborn	98	83	84.69%


### Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



### Region 15 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



### Dearborn County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For June 2007 to June 2008


For June 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	7,953	6,987	87.85%
Region 15	303	259	85.48%
Decatur	73	68	93.15%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 15 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Decatur County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For June 2007 to June 2008


### For June 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	7,953	6,987	87.85%
Region 15	303	259	85.48%
Jefferson	37	26	70.27%


### Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



### Region 15 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



### Jefferson County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For June 2007 to June 2008


### For June 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	7,953	6,987	87.85%
Region 15	303	259	85.48%
Ohio	14	12	85.71%


### Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



### Region 15 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



### Ohio County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For June 2007 to June 2008


For June 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	7,953	6,987	87.85%
Region 15	303	259	85.48%
Ripley	60	51	85.00%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 15 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Ripley County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For June 2007 to June 2008


### For June 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	7,953	6,987	87.85%
Region 15	303	259	85.48%
Switzerland	21	19	90.48%


### Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



### Region 15 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



### Switzerland County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County  
For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	10,025	2.22	950	2.92
Region 15	466	2.04	15	2.40
Dearborn	96	2.00	1	1.00


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 15 Average Visitations and Contacts



Dearborn County Average Visitations and Contacts








# DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County  
For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	10,025	2.22	950	2.92
Region 15	466	2.04	15	2.40
Decatur	117	1.89	8	2.88


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 15 Average Visitations and Contacts



Decatur County Average Visitations and Contacts






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County  
For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	10,025	2.22	950	2.92
Region 15	466	2.04	15	2.40
Jefferson	87	2.45	6	2.00


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 15 Average Visitations and Contacts



Jefferson County Average Visitations and Contacts






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County  
For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	10,025	2.22	950	2.92
Region 15	466	2.04	15	2.40
Ohio	20	2.10	0	0.00


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 15 Average Visitations and Contacts



Ohio County Average Visitations and Contacts






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County  
For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	10,025	2.22	950	2.92
Region 15	466	2.04	15	2.40
Ripley	96	1.71	0	0.00


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 15 Average Visitations and Contacts



Ripley County Average Visitations and Contacts






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County  
For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	10,025	2.22	950	2.92
Region 15	466	2.04	15	2.40
Switzerland	50	2.40	0	0.00


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 15 Average Visitations and Contacts



Switzerland County Average Visitations and Contacts





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

### For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008


Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of May 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Jun 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of May 2008	Reunifications Occuring During Jun 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,274	136	10.68%	9,064	364	4.02%
Region 15	24	2	8.33%	217	3	1.38%
Dearborn County	3	2	66.67%	56	2	3.57%

Statewide Rates




Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Region 15 Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Dearborn County Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

### For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008


Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of May 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Jun 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of May 2008	Reunifications Occuring During Jun 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,274	136	10.68%	9,064	364	4.02%
Region 15	24	2	8.33%	217	3	1.38%
Decatur County	2	0	0.00%	45	0	0.00%

Statewide Rates




Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Region 15 Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Decatur County Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

### For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008


Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of May 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Jun 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of May 2008	Reunifications Occuring During Jun 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,274	136	10.68%	9,064	364	4.02%
Region 15	24	2	8.33%	217	3	1.38%
Jefferson County	12	0	0.00%	43	1	2.33%

Statewide Rates




Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Region 15 Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Jefferson County Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate







# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

### For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008


Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of May 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Jun 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of May 2008	Reunifications Occuring During Jun 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,274	136	10.68%	9,064	364	4.02%
Region 15	24	2	8.33%	217	3	1.38%
Ohio County	1	0	0.00%	8	0	0.00%

Statewide Rates




Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Region 15 Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Ohio County Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

### For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008


Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of May 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Jun 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of May 2008	Reunifications Occuring During Jun 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,274	136	10.68%	9,064	364	4.02%
Region 15	24	2	8.33%	217	3	1.38%
Ripley County	4	0	0.00%	48	0	0.00%

Statewide Rates




Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Region 15 Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Ripley County Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

### For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008


Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of May 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Jun 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of May 2008	Reunifications Occuring During Jun 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,274	136	10.68%	9,064	364	4.02%
Region 15	24	2	8.33%	217	3	1.38%
Switzerland County	2	0	0.00%	17	0	0.00%

Statewide Rates




Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Region 15 Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Switzerland County Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For June 2007 to June 2008


**June 2008**

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,910	47.6%	2,264	22.0%	1,310	12.7%	1,826	17.7%	636.04	398.00
Region 15	157	62.5%	44	17.5%	18	7.2%	32	12.7%	482.39	237.61
Dearborn	44	71.0%	5	8.1%	8	12.9%	5	8.1%	398.01	192.00


**Statewide Median Length**



**Region 15 Median Length**



**Dearborn County Median Length**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For June 2007 to June 2008


**June 2008**

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,910	47.6%	2,264	22.0%	1,310	12.7%	1,826	17.7%	636.04	398.00
Region 15	157	62.5%	44	17.5%	18	7.2%	32	12.7%	482.39	237.61
Decatur	40	72.7%	11	20.0%	2	3.6%	2	3.6%	326.82	185.43


**Statewide Median Length**



**Region 15 Median Length**



**Decatur County Median Length**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For June 2007 to June 2008


**June 2008**

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,910	47.6%	2,264	22.0%	1,310	12.7%	1,826	17.7%	636.04	398.00
Region 15	157	62.5%	44	17.5%	18	7.2%	32	12.7%	482.39	237.61
Jefferson	22	40.7%	17	31.5%	6	11.1%	9	16.7%	642.43	435.50


**Statewide Median Length**



**Region 15 Median Length**



**Jefferson County Median Length**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For June 2007 to June 2008


**June 2008**

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,910	47.6%	2,264	22.0%	1,310	12.7%	1,826	17.7%	636.04	398.00
Region 15	157	62.5%	44	17.5%	18	7.2%	32	12.7%	482.39	237.61
Ohio	7	77.8%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	489.36	231.58


**Statewide Median Length**



**Region 15 Median Length**



**Ohio County Median Length**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For June 2007 to June 2008


**June 2008**

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,910	47.6%	2,264	22.0%	1,310	12.7%	1,826	17.7%	636.04	398.00
Region 15	157	62.5%	44	17.5%	18	7.2%	32	12.7%	482.39	237.61
Ripley	35	64.8%	9	16.7%	0	0.0%	10	18.5%	485.68	216.00


**Statewide Median Length**



**Region 15 Median Length**



**Ripley County Median Length**







# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For June 2007 to June 2008


**June 2008**

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,910	47.6%	2,264	22.0%	1,310	12.7%	1,826	17.7%	636.04	398.00
Region 15	157	62.5%	44	17.5%	18	7.2%	32	12.7%	482.39	237.61
Switzerland	9	52.9%	2	11.8%	1	5.9%	5	29.4%	770.95	350.43


**Statewide Median Length**



**Region 15 Median Length**



**Switzerland County Median Length**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Sibling Placement Report

### For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2551	1686	66.09%	2.71
Region 15	54	42	77.78%	2.83
Dearborn County	14	13	92.86%	2.79


Statewide Percent Together



Region 15 Percent Together



Dearborn County Percent Together





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Sibling Placement Report

### For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2551	1686	66.09%	2.71
Region 15	54	42	77.78%	2.83
Decatur County	10	5	50.00%	3.40


Statewide Percent Together



Region 15 Percent Together



Decatur County Percent Together





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Sibling Placement Report

### For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2551	1686	66.09%	2.71
Region 15	54	42	77.78%	2.83
Jefferson County	15	12	80.00%	2.40


Statewide Percent Together



Region 15 Percent Together



Jefferson County Percent Together





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Sibling Placement Report

### For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2551	1686	66.09%	2.71
Region 15	54	42	77.78%	2.83
Ohio County	1	1	100.00%	3.00


Statewide Percent Together



Region 15 Percent Together



Ohio County Percent Together





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Sibling Placement Report

### For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2551	1686	66.09%	2.71
Region 15	54	42	77.78%	2.83
Ripley County	11	8	72.73%	3.09


Statewide Percent Together



Region 15 Percent Together



Ripley County Percent Together





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Sibling Placement Report

### For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2551	1686	66.09%	2.71
Region 15	54	42	77.78%	2.83
Switzerland County	3	3	100.00%	2.33


Statewide Percent Together



Region 15 Percent Together



Switzerland County Percent Together






# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Average Number of Placement Moves


### For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.81
Region 15	2.42
Dearborn	2.21


Statewide Average Number of Placements



Region 15 Average Number of Placements



Dearborn County Average Number of Placements








# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Average Number of Placement Moves


### For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.81
Region 15	2.42
Decatur	1.79


**Statewide Average Number of Placements**



**Region 15 Average Number of Placements**



**Decatur County Average Number of Placements**








# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Average Number of Placement Moves

### For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.81
Region 15	2.42
Jefferson	3.04






# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Average Number of Placement Moves


### For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.81
Region 15	2.42
Ohio	2.30


Statewide Average Number of Placements



Region 15 Average Number of Placements



Ohio County Average Number of Placements






# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Average Number of Placement Moves


### For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.81
Region 15	2.42
Ripley	2.11


Statewide Average Number of Placements



Region 15 Average Number of Placements



Ripley County Average Number of Placements






# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Average Number of Placement Moves


### For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.81
Region 15	2.42
Switzerland	3.37


**Statewide Average Number of Placements**



**Region 15 Average Number of Placements**



**Switzerland County Average Number of Placements**






# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Locally Placed CHINS


### For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008			
Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	10310	7570	73.42%
Region 15	251	168	66.93%
Dearborn County	62	50	80.65%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 15 Percent Placed Locally



Dearborn County Percent Placed Locally






# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Locally Placed CHINS


### For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008			
Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	10310	7570	73.42%
Region 15	251	168	66.93%
Decatur County	55	44	80.00%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 15 Percent Placed Locally



Decatur County Percent Placed Locally






# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Locally Placed CHINS


### For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008			
Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	10310	7570	73.42%
Region 15	251	168	66.93%
Jefferson County	54	27	50.00%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 15 Percent Placed Locally



Jefferson County Percent Placed Locally








# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Locally Placed CHINS


### For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008			
Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	10310	7570	73.42%
Region 15	251	168	66.93%
Ohio County	9	4	44.44%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 15 Percent Placed Locally



Ohio County Percent Placed Locally






# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Locally Placed CHINS


### For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008			
Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	10310	7570	73.42%
Region 15	251	168	66.93%
Ripley County	54	37	68.52%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 15 Percent Placed Locally



Ripley County Percent Placed Locally






# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Locally Placed CHINS


### For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008			
Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	10310	7570	73.42%
Region 15	251	168	66.93%
Switzerland County	17	6	35.29%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 15 Percent Placed Locally



Switzerland County Percent Placed Locally





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## CHINS Placements by County

### For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 15	389	138	35.48%	68	17.48%	128	32.90%	49	12.60%	6	1.54%
Dearborn County	73	11	15.07%	12	16.44%	44	60.27%	6	8.22%	0	0.00%


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 15 Percentage Breakdown



Dearborn County Percentage Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## CHINS Placements by County

### For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 15	389	138	35.48%	68	17.48%	128	32.90%	49	12.60%	6	1.54%
Decatur County	90	35	38.89%	29	32.22%	18	20.00%	8	8.89%	0	0.00%


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 15 Percentage Breakdown



Decatur County Percentage Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## CHINS Placements by County

### For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 15	389	138	35.48%	68	17.48%	128	32.90%	49	12.60%	6	1.54%
Jefferson County	92	38	41.30%	11	11.96%	21	22.83%	19	20.65%	3	3.26%


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 15 Percentage Breakdown



Jefferson County Percentage Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## CHINS Placements by County

### For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 15	389	138	35.48%	68	17.48%	128	32.90%	49	12.60%	6	1.54%
Ohio County	13	4	30.77%	1	7.69%	4	30.77%	3	23.08%	1	7.69%


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 15 Percentage Breakdown



Ohio County Percentage Breakdown






# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 15	389	138	35.48%	68	17.48%	128	32.90%	49	12.60%	6	1.54%
Ripley County	84	30	35.71%	13	15.48%	34	40.48%	6	7.14%	1	1.19%


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 15 Percentage Breakdown



Ripley County Percentage Breakdown







# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## CHINS Placements by County

### For June 2007 to June 2008


#### June 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 15	389	138	35.48%	68	17.48%	128	32.90%	49	12.60%	6	1.54%
Switzerland County	37	20	54.05%	2	5.41%	7	18.92%	7	18.92%	1	2.70%


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 15 Percentage Breakdown



Switzerland County Percentage Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 15	689	6.68%	316	27.53%
Dearborn	172	8.14%	99	28.28%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 15 Percent Removal



Dearborn County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008

April 2008 to June 2008


Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 15	689	6.68%	316	27.53%
Decatur	146	9.59%	87	41.38%

Statewide Percent Removal




Percent Removal

Region 15 Percent Removal



Percent Removal

Decatur County Percent Removal



Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008

April 2008 to June 2008


Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 15	689	6.68%	316	27.53%
Jefferson	170	8.24%	37	10.81%

Statewide Percent Removal




Percent Removal

Region 15 Percent Removal



Percent Removal

Jefferson County Percent Removal



Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 15	689	6.68%	316	27.53%
Ohio	27	3.70%	14	21.43%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 15 Percent Removal



Ohio County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008

April 2008 to June 2008


Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 15	689	6.68%	316	27.53%
Ripley	121	0.83%	58	18.97%

Statewide Percent Removal




Percent Removal

Region 15 Percent Removal



Percent Removal

Ripley County Percent Removal



Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 15	689	6.68%	316	27.53%
Switzerland	53	3.77%	21	23.81%

Statewide Percent Removal



Region 15 Percent Removal



Switzerland County Percent Removal

